The deep learning journey

Szu-Chi Chung

Department of Applied Mathematics, National Sun Yat-sen University

週次	日期
Week	Date
1	2023/02/12~2023/02/18
2	2023/02/19~2023/02/25
3	2023/02/26~2023/03/04
4	2023/03/05~2023/03/11
5	2023/03/12~2023/03/18
6	2023/03/19~2023/03/25
7	2023/03/26~2023/04/01
8	2023/04/02~2023/04/08
9	2023/04/09~2023/04/15
10	2023/04/16~2023/04/22
11	2023/04/23~2023/04/29
12	2023/04/30~2023/05/06
13	2023/05/07~2023/05/13
14	2023/05/14~2023/05/20
15	2023/05/21~2023/05/27
16	2023/05/28~2023/06/03
17	2023/06/04~2023/06/10
18	2023/06/11~2023/06/17

授課內容及主題 Content and topic The data science landscape Framing the problem and constructing the dataset NO CLASS (228 Peace Memorial Day) Data cleaning and feature engineering Data wrangling and relational database Dimensional reduction and clustering Interpretable machine learning NO CLASS (Spring break) Model serving Midterm project The deep learning journey Computer vision problems Natural language processing Training a state-of-the-art model Training a state-of-the-art model Representation learning Final project Flexible learning

1. The beginning of the story

Just like birds inspired us to fly, nature has inspired countless more inventions

- This is the logic that sparked artificial neural networks (ANNs)
- An ANN is a Machine Learning model inspired by the networks of biological neurons found in our brains
- ANNs are ideal for tackling <u>large and highly complex</u> Machine Learning tasks
 - Answer a variety of different questions (e.g., ChatGPT)
 - Generate convincing images in different styles (e.g., Stable diffusion)
 - Helping us focus on the applications rather than coding for the functions (e.g. Copilot)
 - Recommending the best videos to watch to users every day (e.g., YouTube)
 - Learning to beat the world champion in the game of Go (DeepMind's AlphaGo)

The beginning of the story



- ANNs have been around for quite a while: they were first introduced back in 1943 by the neurophysiologist and mathematician
 - Neural networks became popular in the 1980s. Then along came SVMs, Random Forests in the 1990s, and Neural Networks took a back seat
- Re-emerged around 2010 as Deep Learning
 - 1. There is now a <u>huge quantity of data</u> available to train neural networks, and ANNs frequently outperform other ML techniques on very large and complex problems
 - 2. The tremendous increase in <u>computing power</u> since the 1990s now makes it possible to train large neural networks in a reasonable amount of time
 - 3. Much of the credit goes to three pioneers and their students: Yann LeCun, Georey Hinton and Yoshua Bengio, who received the 2019 ACM Turing Award for their work in Neural Networks

The Perceptron

- The *threshold logic unit* (TLU) computes a weighted sum of its inputs $\sum_{j=1}^{p} w_{kj} X_j$, then applies a *step (Activation) function* to that sum and output $heavidide(z) = \begin{cases} 0 & if \ z < 0 \\ 1 & if \ z \ge 0 \end{cases}$
 - It is an artificial neuron. A single TLU can be used for simple linear binary classification and if the result exceeds a threshold, it outputs positive class



The Perceptron

- A Perceptron is composed of a single layer of TLUs, with each TLU connected to all the inputs
 - When all neuron in a layer are connected to every neuron in the previous layer, it is call <u>dense layer</u>
 - The input neuron output whatever input they are fed and form the <u>input layer</u>
 - An extra bias neuron is added which outputs 1 all the time



Neural Network (The Multilayer Perceptron)

- The four features X_1, \ldots, X_4 make up the units in the *input layer*
 - Each of the inputs from the input layer feeds into each of the K (5 here) hidden units



Details

• g(z) is called the *activation function*

- Activation functions in hidden layers are typically nonlinear. Otherwise, the model collapses into a linear model
- A_k are the activations which are different transformations of original features
- Fitting a neural network requires estimating the unknown parameters (w and β)
 - For a quantitative response, typically squared-error loss is used

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - f(x_i))^2$$

• For qualitative response, the cross-entropy (negative log-likelihood) is used:

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \log(f(x_i)) + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - f(x_i))$$

Details

The sigmoid activation function was favored in the early age

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$$

• The preferred choice in modern neural networks is the ReLU (rectified linear unit) activation function, which takes the form

$$g(z) = (z)_{+} = \begin{cases} 0 & if \ z < 0 \\ z & otherwise \end{cases}$$



Multilayer Neural Networks

- Modern neural networks typically have more hidden layers and often many units per layer. In theory, a single hidden layer with a large number of units has the ability to *approximate most functions* (Universal approximation theorem)
- However, the learning task of discovering a good solution is made much easier with multiple layers, each of modest size –This is why deep!
- When an ANN contains a deep stack of hidden layers, it is called a *deep neural* network (DNN). The field of Deep Learning studies DNNs
 - However, many people talk about Deep Learning whenever neural networks are involved (even shallow ones)

Example: MNIST Digits

• Handwritten digits 28×28 grayscale images with 60K train and 10K test

- Features are the 784 pixel grayscale values $\in [0, 255]$
- Labels are the digit class 0 9 with one-hot encoding
- Goal: build a classifier to predict the image class
 - We build a network with two hidden layers which have 256 units at the first layer, 128 units at the second layer, and 10 units at the output layer. Along with intercepts (called *biases*), there are 235,146 parameters (referred to as *weights*)

Method	Test Error
Neural Network + Ridge Regularization	2.3%
Neural Network + Dropout Regularization	1.8%
Multinomial Logistic Regression	7.2%
Linear Discriminant Analysis	12.7%



• The W_1 , W_2 and B has 785 × 256, 257 × 128 and 129 × 10 elements, respectively



• Let $Z_m = \beta_m + \sum_{l=1}^{K_2} \beta_{ml} A_l^{(2)}$, m = 0, 1, ..., 9 be 10 linear combinations of activations at second layer

• Output activation function encodes the *softmax function* (This ensures that the 10 numbers behave like probabilities (non-negative and sum to one))

$$f_m(X) = \Pr(Y = m | X) = \frac{e^{2m}}{\sum_{l=0}^9 e^{2l}}$$

• We fit the model by minimizing the negative multinomial log-likelihood (or <u>cross-entropy</u>, just like in multinomial logistic regression):

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{m=0}^{9}y_{im}\log(f_m(x_i))$$

 y_{im} is 1 if the true class for observation *i* is *m*, else 0 – i.e., one-hot encoded

Fitting a Neural Network

This problem is difficult because the objective is nonconvex. Let us go back to MSE loss. For a neural network with one hidden layer, we have

$$\min_{\{w_k\}_{1,\beta}^{K},\beta} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - f(x_i))^2$$

$$F(x_i) = \beta_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k g (w_{k0} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} w_{kj} x_{ij})$$

- Suppose we represent all the parameters in one long vector θ , $R(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i f_{\theta}(x_i))^2$
- ▶ *Slow learning* and *regularization* are the keys to successful training

Fitting a Neural Network

- 1. Start with a guess θ^0 for all the parameters in θ , and set t = 0
- 2. Iterate until the objective fails to decrease:

(a) Find a vector δ that reflects a small change in θ , such that $\theta^{t+1} = \theta^t + \delta$ reduces the objective; i.e. such that $R(\theta^{t+1}) < R(\theta^t)$

(b) Set $t \leftarrow t + 1$



2. Slow learning - Gradient descent

• How to find a direction δ that points downhill? We compute the gradient vector $\nabla R(\theta^t) = \frac{\partial R(\theta)}{\partial \theta}\Big|_{\theta=\theta^t}$

• The gradient points uphill, so our update is $\delta = -\eta \nabla R(\theta^t)$ $\theta^{t+1} \leftarrow \theta^t - \eta \nabla R(\theta^t)$

Where η is the *learning rate* which is typically small

- $R(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_i(\theta)$ is sum of gradients
- For a small enough value of the learning rate η , this step will decrease the objective
- If the gradient vector is zero, then we may have arrived at a minimum of the objective

Gradients and Backpropagation

$$R_{i}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y_{i} - (\beta_{0} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_{k} g\left(w_{k0} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} w_{kj} x_{ij} \right) \right)^{2}$$

• Let $z_{ik} = w_{k0} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} w_{kj} x_{ij}$

• *Backpropagation* uses the chain rule for differentiation:

$$\frac{\partial R_i(\theta)}{\partial \beta_k} = \frac{\partial R_i(\theta)}{\partial f_\theta(x_i)} \cdot \frac{\partial f_\theta(x_i)}{\partial \beta_k} \cdot \frac{\partial f_\theta(x_i)}{\partial \beta_k} = -(y_i - f(x_i)) \cdot g(z_{ik})$$

$$\frac{\partial R_i(\theta)}{\partial w_{kj}} = \frac{\partial R_i(\theta)}{\partial f_\theta(x_i)} \cdot \frac{\partial f_\theta(x_i)}{\partial g(z_{ik})} \cdot \frac{\partial g(z_{ik})}{\partial z_{ik}} \cdot \frac{\partial z_{ik}}{\partial w_{kj}} = -(y_i - f(x_i)) \cdot \beta_k \cdot g'(z_{ik}) \cdot x_{ij}$$

- Notice that both these expressions contain the residual. So the act of differentiation assigns a fraction of the residual to each of the parameters via the chain rule
- In just two passes through the network (one forward, one backward), it can find out how each connection weight and each bias term should be tweaked in order to reduce the error

Gradients and Backpropagation

- The *forward pass* computes the output of all the neurons in current layer and send it to next layer. It is exactly like making predictions, except all intermediate results are *preserved* since they are needed for the backward pass
- The *backward pass* measures how much of output error contributions came from each connection in the layer below, again using the chain rule, working backward until the algorithm reaches the input layer
- The algorithm performs a *Gradient Descent* step to tweak all the connection weights in the network, using the error gradients it just computed

Some notice

- Determine the step η which is call the *learning rate* is important
- It is important to ensure that features have similar scale



Gradient descent

- If the Gradient Descent uses the whole training set to compute the gradients at every step ∑ⁿ_{i=1} R_i(θ), it is very slow
 - Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD) picks a random instance in the training set at every step and computes the gradients based only on it. Due to its stochastic nature, it is much less regular than Gradient Descent
 - Mini-batch Gradient Descent or batch SGD instead computes the gradients on small random sets of instances
 - We will <u>usually shuffle the dataset</u> when training



Gradient descent

- Randomness is good to escape from local optima but bad because it means that the algorithm can never settle at the minimum
 - One solution to this dilemma is to reduce the learning rate gradually
 - The steps start out large, then get smaller and smaller, allowing the algorithm to settle at the global minimum. The function that determines the learning rate at each iteration is called the *learning rate schedule*



Learning rate scheduling

• Rather than constant, finding a good learning rate is very important

- Power/exponential scheduling: Set the learning rate to a function of the iteration number t. For instance, $\eta(t) = \eta_0 \cdot 0.1^{t/s}$, s is the number of iterations to decay
- Piecewise constant scheduling: Use a constant learning rate for a number of iterations, then a lower learning rate for another number of iterations...
- Performance scheduling: Measure the validation error every *N* steps, and reduce the learning rate by a factor of *C* when the error stops dropping
- ▶ <u>1cycle scheduling</u>



Gradient descent

Training a very large deep neural network can be painfully slow. Many faster optimizers are proposed based on the idea of <u>Momentum</u>

• $\theta_i^{t+1} = \theta_i^t + v_i^t$, where $v_i^t = \beta v_i^{t-1} - \eta \nabla R(\theta_i^t)$, $v_i^0 = 0$ and β (set to 0.9) is momentum

• More optimizer see <u>here</u>



Back to the example

The MNIST problem

- Slow learning. the model is fit using gradient descent. The fitting process is then stopped when overfitting is detected (With *early stopping*)
- Stochastic gradient descent. Use a small minibatch drawn at random at each step. E.g. for MNIST data, we use minibatches of 128 observations
- 20% of the 60,000 training observations were used as a validation set
- An *epoch* is a count of iterations and amounts to the number of minibatch updates such that *n* samples in total have been processed; i.e. 48*K*/128 = 375 <u>steps</u> per epoch for MNIST
- Playground



3. Regularization

- A model with millions of parameters would severely risk overfitting the training set, especially if there are not enough training instances or if they are too noisy
- Regularization

$$R(\theta;\lambda) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{9} y_{im} \log(f_m(x_i)) + \lambda \sum_j \theta_j^2$$

• If you want a sparse model (with many weights equal to 0), you can use l_1

Dropout Learning

- Similar to randomly omitting variables when growing trees in random forests
 - At each SGD step, randomly remove units with probability Φ, the surviving units stand in and their output weights are scaled up by a factor 1/(1 Φ) to compensate during training or we can multiply each input connection weight by the (1 Φ) after training
 - We do not perform dropout at the output layer or after the training is done, neurons don't get dropped anymore. In practice, you can usually apply dropout only to the neurons in the top one to three layers (excluding the output layer)



- Neurons trained with dropout have to be as useful as possible on their own. They also cannot rely on just a few input neurons; they must pay attention to each of their input neurons. They end up being less sensitive to slight changes in the inputs so you get a more robust network that generalizes better
 - If you observe that the model is overfitting, you can increase the dropout rate. Conversely, you should try decreasing the dropout rate if the model underfits the training set
 - Since each neuron can be either present or absent, there are a total of 2ⁿ possible networks after n steps, The resulting neural network can be seen as an averaging ensemble of part of these smaller neural networks

Early stopping

- Another way to regularize iterative learning algorithms such as Gradient
 Descent is to stop training as soon as the validation error reaches a minimum
 - With early stopping, you just stop training as soon as the validation error reaches the minimum. It is such a simple and efficient regularization technique that Geoffrey Hinton called it a "beautiful free lunch."



4. The Vanishing/Exploding Gradients Problems

- You may be faced with vanishing/exploding gradients. This is when the gradients grow smaller and smaller or larger and larger when flowing backward through the DNN during training
 - The cumulative errors that occur in sequential transmission over a noisy channel

 $y = f_4\left(f_3\left(f_2(f_1(x))\right)\right)$

• To adjust the parameters of each function in the chain based on the error recorded on the output of f_4 (the loss of the model). To adjust f_1 , you'll need to percolate error information through f_2 , f_3 , and f_4 . However, each successive function in the chain introduces some amount of noise. If your function chain is too deep, this noise starts overwhelming gradient information, and backpropagation stops working!



The Vanishing/Exploding Gradients Problems

- The combination of logistic sigmoid activation function and the weight initialization (i.e., a normal distribution with a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1) may cause the problem
 - With this activation function and this initialization scheme, the variance of the outputs of each layer is much greater than the variance of its inputs. Going forward in the network, the variance keeps increasing after each layer until the activation function saturates
 - The function saturates at 0 or 1, with a derivative extremely close to 0!



The Vanishing/Exploding Gradients Problems

- We need the variance of the outputs of each layer to be equal to the variance of its inputs, and we need the gradients to have equal variance before and after flowing through a layer in the reverse direction
 - Let $fan_{avg} = \frac{fan_{in} + fan_{out}}{2}$
 - Initialize weight with a normal distribution (mean 0, variance σ^2) or uniform distribution between -r and $r, r = \sqrt{3\sigma^2}$
 - Playground

Initialization	Activation functions	σ^2
Glorot (Xavier)	None, tanh, sigmoid, softmax	1/fan _{avg}
Не	ReLU and its variants	2/fan _{in}
LeCun	SELU	1/fan _{in}



Nonsaturating Activation Functions

- ReLU activation function does not saturate for positive values but
 - It suffers from a problem known as the <u>dying ReLUs</u>: during training, some neurons stop outputting anything other than 0 and Gradient Descent does not affect it anymore because the gradient of the ReLU function is zero when its input is negative
 - You may want to use a variant of the ReLU function, such as the *leaky ReLU or* exponential linear unit (ELU) $(\alpha(e^z - 1) if z < 0)$



Batch normalization

Adding an operation before or after the activation function of each hidden layer

- This operation zero-centers and normalizes each input, then scales and shifts the result using two new parameter vectors per layer: one for scaling, the other for shifting
- The operation lets the model learn the optimal scale and mean of each of the layer's inputs using the current mini-batch (γ and β are learned through regular backpropagation)

 $m_{\, {f n}}$

$$\mu_B = \frac{1}{m_B} \sum_{i=1}^{m_B} x_i$$

$$\sigma_B^2 = \frac{1}{m_B} \sum_{i=1}^{m_B} (x_i - \mu_B)^2$$

$$\hat{x}_i = \frac{x_i - \mu_B}{\sqrt{\sigma_B^2 + \varepsilon}}$$

$$z_i = \gamma \cdot \hat{x}_i + \beta$$



Batch normalization

- Batch Normalization estimate μ and σ for testing (to replace μ_B and σ_B) during training by using a moving average of the layer's input means and standard deviations (Totally four parameters per layer if we consider γ and β)
 - $\mu = \mu \times \text{momentum} + \hat{\mu}(1-\text{momentum})$
- Batch Normalization significantly speeds up the training and avoids the use of regularization
 - The main effect of batch normalization appears to be that it helps with gradient propagation by <u>feature normalization</u> and thus allows for deeper networks
 - However, it adds some complexity and there is a runtime penalty: the neural network makes slower predictions due to the extra computations required at each layer. Fortunately, it's often possible to <u>fuse the BN layer with the previous layer</u> after training

Why deep?

- If you want to <u>make a complex system simpler</u>, there's a recipe you can apply: just structure it into modules, organize the modules into a hierarchy, and start reusing the same modules in multiple places as appropriate
 - 1. If you're a software engineer, you're already keenly familiar with these principles: an effective codebase is one that is modular, hierarchical, and where you don't re-implement the same thing twice but instead rely on reusable classes and functions
 - 2. Deep learning itself is simply the application of this recipe to continuous optimization via gradient descent: you take a classic optimization technique (gradient descent over a continuous function space), and you structure the search space into modules (layers), organized into a deep hierarchy, where you reuse whatever you can
 - 3. Deeper hierarchies are intrinsically good <u>because they encourage feature reuse</u>

Why deep?

- For complex problems, deep networks have a much higher *parameter efficiency* than shallow ones
 - Model complex functions using exponentially fewer neurons than shallow nets
- Real-world data is often structured in such a hierarchical way, and deep neural networks take advantage of this fact
 - 1. Lower hidden layers model low-level structures (e.g., line segments of various shapes and orientations), intermediate hidden layers combine these structures to model intermediate-level structures (e.g., squares, circles), and the highest hidden layers and the output layer combine these intermediate structures to model high-level structures (e.g., faces)
 - 2. It can also generalize better by keeping the weight of lower layer and perform <u>transfer</u> <u>learning</u>

References

[1] <u>Hands-On Machine Learning with Scikit-Learn, Keras, and TensorFlow,</u> <u>3rd Edition</u> Chapter10~11

[2] An Introduction to Statistical Learning with Applications in R. Second Edition Chapter 10

Appendix

Resources

Deep learning concepts

- https://leemeng.tw/10-key-takeaways-from-ai-for-everyone-course.html
- https://github.com/leemengtw/deep-learning-resources
- https://udlbook.github.io/udlbook/
- https://d21.ai/index.html
- https://distill.pub/
- https://aman.ai/primers/ai/
- https://explained.ai/
- https://www.kaggle.com/learn-guide/tensorflow

• Hyperparameter tuning:

- Empirical guidelines
- Deep dive guidelines

Playground

- https://www.deeplearning.ai/ai-notes/optimization/index.html
- https://www.deeplearning.ai/ai-notes/initialization/index.html
- http://playground.tensorflow.org/

Deep learning libraries

- https://d21.ai/index.html
- <u>Tensorflow</u>
- <u>Pytorch</u>
- Keras
- ▶ <u>Fastai</u> or <u>Lightning</u>
- Logger for deep learning
 - https://github.com/wandb/client

Logical Computations with Neurons

- Let's build a few ANNs that perform various logical computations, assuming that a neuron is activated when at least two of its inputs are active
 - You can imagine how these networks can be combined to compute complex logical expressions



Faster Optimizers

- All the optimization techniques discussed so far only rely on the first-order partial derivatives (Jacobians). The optimization literature also contains algorithms based on the second-order partial derivatives
 - Since DNNs typically have tens of thousands of parameters, the second order optimization algorithms often don't even fit in memory, and even when they do, computing the Hessians is just too slow

Class	Convergence speed	Convergence quality
SGD	*	***
SGD(momentum=)	* *	* * *
SGD(momentum=, nesterov=True)	**	* * *
Adagrad	* * *	* (stops too early)
RMSprop	* * *	** or ***
Adam	* * *	** or ***
Nadam	* * *	** or ***
AdaMax	***	** or ***

Other regularization techniques - Monte Carlo (MC) Dropout

- Established a profound connection between dropout networks and approximate Bayesian inference, giving dropout a solid mathematical justification
 - It may boost the performance of any trained dropout model, <u>without having to retrain</u> it or even modify it at all. It just take the average of dropout preictions!
- MC Dropout is a fantastic technique that boosts dropout models and provides <u>better uncertainty estimates</u>. And of course, since it is just regular dropout during training, it also acts like a regularizer
- The number of Monte Carlo samples you is a hyperparameter you can tweak. The higher it is, the more accurate the predictions and their uncertainty estimates will be. Try to find the right trade-off between latency and accuracy, depending on your application

Nonsaturating Activation Functions

- Scaled ELU (SELU) activation function ensures certain network will be selfnormalized: the output of each layer tend to preserve a mean of 0 and standard deviation of 1 during training
 - The input features must be standardized (mean 0 and standard deviation 1)
 - Every hidden layer's weights must be initialized with LeCun normal initialization
 - The network's architecture must <u>be sequential and contains only dense layer</u>
- In general, the performance of SELU and ELU and will performs better than traditional activation function
- ▶ If the speed is the first priority, try using leaky ReLU and its variants
 - If you want to regularize a self-normalizing network based on the SELU activation function (as discussed later), you should use <u>alpha dropout</u>: this is a variant of dropout that preserves the mean and standard deviation of its inputs

- Another popular technique to mitigate the exploding gradients problem is to clip the gradients during backpropagation so that they never exceed some threshold
 - If you observe that the gradients explode during training (you can track the size of the gradients using TensorBoard), you may want to try both clipping by value and clipping by norm, with different thresholds, and see which option performs best on the validation set
 - The difference between clipping by value and by norm
 - [0.9, 100.0] will clip to [0.9, 1.0] or [0.00899964, 0.9999595]





Bias-variance trade-off



https://jason-chen-1992.weebly.com/home/-bias-variance-tradeoff

Data Mismatch

- Sometimes the data probably won't be perfectly representative of the data that will be used in production
 - The most important rule to remember is that the validation set and the test set must be as representative as possible of the data you expect to use in production, so they should be composed exclusively of representative pictures: you can shuffle them and put half in the validation set and half in the test set

Training Data



Testing Data



https://speech.ee.ntu.edu.tw/~hylee/ml/ml2021-course-data/overfit-v6.pdf

When to Use Machine/Deep Learning

- To summarize, Machine/Deep Learning is great for:
 - 1. Problems for which existing solutions require a lot of fine-tuning or long lists of rules: one Machine Learning algorithm can often simplify code and perform better than the traditional approach
 - 2. Complex problems for which using a traditional approach yields no good solution: the best Machine Learning techniques can perhaps find a solution
 - 3. Fluctuating environments: a Machine Learning system can adapt to new data
 - 4. Getting insights about complex problems and large amounts of data

How to choose the network architectures?

- Number of Hidden Layers
 - You can ramp up the number of hidden layers until you start overfitting the training set
- Number of Neurons per Hidden Layer
 - The number of neurons in the input and output layers is determined by the type of input and output your task requires
 - For the hidden layers, using the same number of neurons in all hidden layers so that there is <u>only one hyperparameter to tune</u>
 - Another common practice to size them to form a pyramid, with fewer and fewer neurons at each layer—the rationale being that many low level features can coalesce into far fewer high-level features
- It's simpler and more efficient to <u>pick a model with more layers and neurons</u> than you need, then use regularization techniques to prevent it from overfitting

How to choose the hyperparameters?

- Learning rate
 - Find optimal learning rate empirically or use learning rate scheduling
- Number of iterations
 - Just use enough iterations and use early stopping
- Batch size
 - The main benefit of using large batch sizes is that hardware accelerators like GPUs can process them efficiently

Activation function

 In general, the variants of ReLU activation function will be a good default for all hidden layers. For the output layer, it really depends on your task

Architecture

Table 10-1. Typical regression MLP architecture

Hyperparameter	Typical value
# hidden layers	Depends on the problem, but typically 1 to 5
# neurons per hidden layer	Depends on the problem, but typically 10 to 100
# output neurons	1 per prediction dimension
Hidden activation	ReLU
Output activation	None, or ReLU/softplus (if positive outputs) or sigmoid/tanh (if bounded outputs)
Loss function	MSE, or Huber if outliers

Table 10-2. Typical classification MLP architecture

Hyperparameter	Binary classification	Multilabel binary classification	Multiclass classification
# hidden layers	Typically 1 to 5 layers, depending on the task		
# output neurons	1	1 per binary label	1 per class
Output layer activation	Sigmoid	Sigmoid	Softmax
Loss function	X-entropy	X-entropy	X-entropy

Hyperparameters

Table 11-3. Default DNN configuration

Hyperparameter	Default value
Kernel initializer	He initialization
Activation function	ReLU if shallow; Swish if deep
Normalization	None if shallow; batch norm if deep
Regularization	Early stopping; weight decay if needed
Optimizer	Nesterov accelerated gradients or AdamW
Learning rate schedule	Performance scheduling or 1cycle